UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,696	09/12/2003	David D. Brandt	03AB014C/ALBRP303USC	7375
Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation, 704-P, IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street			EXAMINER	
			BAUM, RONALD	
Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/661,696	BRANDT ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RONALD BAUM	2439				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>RONALD BAUM</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>DAVE M. NOONAN</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 October 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Swiler et al.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed how the phrase 'industrial controller[s]' in the claim [1] is sufficiently broad, such that the Swiler reference teaching of network security in ' commercial, and industry applications' would cover the limitation. However, to move prosecution forward, attention should be directed towards more explicitly dealing with the 'industrial controller' insofar as dealing with the environment, or details of a given industrial controller embodiment.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2434				